I J C R M
International Journal of Contemporary Research In Multidisciplinary

Research Article

Designing Clinical Trials for Ayurvedic Interventions in Women's Health: Opportunities and Challenges

Dr. Manali Prachit Bhandari
BAMS, MS (Prasuti Tantra & Stree Roga), Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: * Dr. Manali Prachit Bhandari

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20958543

Abstract

Women's health disorders, including polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), infertility, dysmenorrhea, menopausal syndrome, and pregnancy-related complications, represent a substantial global health burden. The increasing prevalence of lifestyle-related diseases, obesity, stress, and metabolic dysfunction has created a growing need for holistic and patient-centred healthcare approaches. Ayurveda, the traditional system of medicine of India, offers individualised therapeutic strategies based on constitutional assessment, lifestyle regulation, dietary interventions, and herbal medicines. Despite its widespread use, integration of Ayurveda into mainstream healthcare remains limited due to insufficient high-quality clinical evidence. Designing clinical trials for Ayurvedic interventions presents unique methodological challenges because conventional randomized controlled trial (RCT) frameworks are primarily developed for standardized pharmaceutical interventions, whereas Ayurveda emphasises individualised and multimodal treatment. This review discusses key challenges in Ayurvedic clinical research, including treatment personalisation, intervention standardisation, placebo design, outcome selection, and integration of traditional and biomedical diagnostic systems. Emerging methodological approaches such as pragmatic clinical trials, whole-systems research, N-of-1 studies and real-world evidence generation are examined as potential solutions. Ethical considerations, regulatory requirements, digital health technologies, and artificial intelligence applications are also discussed. Developing scientifically rigorous yet context-sensitive research methodologies is essential for generating robust evidence and facilitating the integration of Ayurveda into contemporary women's healthcare.

Manuscript Information

- **ISSN No:** 2583-7397
- **Received:** 13-05-2026
- **Accepted:** 23-06-2026
- **Published:** 27-06-2026
- **IJCRM:**5(3); 2026: 1212-1216

- **Plagiarism Checked:** Yes
- **Peer Review Process:** Yes

How to Cite this Article

Bhandari M P. Designing Clinical Trials for Ayurvedic Interventions in Women's Health: Opportunities and Challenges. Int J Contemp Res Multidiscip. 2026;5(3):1212-1216.

Access this Article Online

www.multiarticlesjournal.com

KEYWORDS: Ayurveda, Women's Health, Clinical Trials, Integrative Medicine, PCOS, Evidence-Based Medicine, Women's Healthcare.

1. INTRODUCTION

Women's health encompasses a wide range of reproductive, gynaecological, obstetric, endocrine, and psychosocial conditions that affect health throughout the lifespan. Disorders such as polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), infertility, dysmenorrhea, abnormal uterine bleeding, menopausal symptoms, gestational diabetes mellitus, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, and postpartum complications contribute significantly to morbidity and reduced quality of life worldwide. These conditions often require long-term management and have substantial social, psychological, and economic consequences. [1–5]

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), women's health remains a major public health priority requiring comprehensive, accessible, and culturally acceptable healthcare systems. [1] Modern lifestyle changes including sedentary behaviour, unhealthy dietary patterns, delayed childbearing, chronic stress, obesity, and metabolic disturbances have contributed to the increasing prevalence of reproductive health disorders globally. [2–5]

The growing burden of chronic diseases has led many patients to seek complementary and integrative healthcare approaches. Ayurveda, one of the world's oldest medical systems, offers a holistic framework for disease prevention and treatment based on individualized assessment and personalized interventions. Classical Ayurvedic literature including Charaka Samhita, Sushruta Samhita, Kashyapa Samhita, and Ashtanga Hridaya contains detailed descriptions of menstruation, fertility, pregnancy care, postpartum management, and menopausal health. [6–9]

Unlike conventional biomedicine, which primarily focuses on disease-specific pathology, Ayurveda evaluates health through the balance of Doshas (Vata, Pitta, Kapha), Agni (digestive and metabolic function), Dhatus (tissues), Srotas (body channels), and mental wellbeing. Disease is viewed as a manifestation of systemic imbalance rather than isolated pathology. [6–9]

Although Ayurvedic interventions are widely utilized in India and increasingly accepted globally, integration into mainstream healthcare depends upon the availability of robust scientific evidence. Modern evidence-based medicine relies heavily on randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses to evaluate therapeutic efficacy and safety. [11–17] However, applying conventional clinical trial methodologies to Ayurvedic interventions remains challenging because Ayurveda emphasizes individualized diagnosis and treatment rather than standardized therapeutic protocols.

This review examines methodological challenges and opportunities in designing clinical trials for Ayurvedic interventions in women's health and discusses emerging research approaches that may strengthen the evidence base for Ayurveda.

Challenges in Designing Ayurvedic Clinical Trials

Individualized Treatment Approach

One of the most significant challenges in Ayurvedic clinical research is the individualised nature of treatment. Ayurvedic diagnosis extends beyond disease labels and includes assessment of Prakriti (constitutional type), Vikriti (current imbalance), Dosha predominance, Agni status, disease stage, and psychological characteristics. [6–10]

For example, two women diagnosed with PCOS according to Rotterdam criteria may receive entirely different Ayurvedic treatment plans. A patient with obesity and Kapha predominance may receive therapies aimed at improving metabolism and reducing Kapha accumulation, whereas another patient with Vata predominance and menstrual irregularity may receive treatments focused on balancing Apana Vata.

Conventional RCTs generally require uniform interventions across all participants within a treatment arm. Such standardization may compromise the individualized principles that form the foundation of Ayurvedic practice. Consequently, researchers face the challenge of preserving therapeutic authenticity while ensuring methodological rigor.

Standardization of Ayurvedic Interventions

Reproducibility is a fundamental requirement in clinical research. However, standardization of Ayurvedic interventions can be difficult because variability may arise from multiple sources, including differences in raw herbal materials, geographical origin of medicinal plants, harvesting practices, seasonal variations, manufacturing methods, storage conditions, and practitioner-specific treatment decisions. [13–17]

Multicentre studies require additional measures to ensure consistency. Implementation of Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), phytochemical characterization, quality control testing, and detailed treatment documentation can help reduce variability and improve reproducibility. Standard operating procedures should be developed for intervention preparation, administration, and monitoring.

Placebo and Blinding Challenges

Placebo-controlled studies are considered a cornerstone of modern clinical research. However, creating suitable placebos for Ayurvedic formulations presents substantial challenges. Many Ayurvedic medicines possess distinctive taste, colour, aroma, and texture characteristics that make placebo matching difficult. [18–21]

Blinding becomes even more complicated when interventions involve Panchakarma procedures, yoga practices, dietary counselling, or lifestyle modifications. In such circumstances, participants and healthcare providers may easily identify treatment allocation, increasing the risk of performance and detection bias.

Alternative approaches such as active comparator studies, assessor-blinded designs, pragmatic trials, and comparative effectiveness research may provide more feasible options for evaluating Ayurvedic interventions.

Complexity of Whole-System Interventions

Ayurvedic treatment rarely relies on a single therapeutic component. Instead, clinical management frequently combines herbal medicines, dietary recommendations, behavioural modifications, stress management, yoga, counselling, and Panchakarma procedures. [6–10]

The therapeutic benefit often results from interactions among these components rather than any single intervention.

Consequently, isolating individual treatment effects may not accurately reflect real-world Ayurvedic practice. This complexity creates difficulties in trial design, intervention standardization, and statistical analysis.

Whole-systems research has emerged as a promising methodology for evaluating complex interventions while preserving the integrity of traditional healthcare systems. [25–27]

Outcome Selection

Selection of appropriate outcome measures is another major challenge. Conventional clinical trials often emphasize laboratory parameters and disease-specific endpoints. While these measures remain important, they may fail to capture the broader therapeutic effects frequently reported by patients receiving Ayurvedic treatment. [22–24]

For instance, women receiving Ayurvedic treatment for PCOS may experience improvements in menstrual regularity, sleep quality, energy levels, emotional wellbeing, stress reduction, and overall quality of life. These benefits may not be fully reflected through hormonal or metabolic markers alone.

Therefore, future studies should incorporate both objective biomedical outcomes and patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs).

Regulatory and Ethical Challenges

Clinical trials involving Ayurvedic interventions must comply with the same ethical principles that govern biomedical research. These include informed consent, risk-benefit assessment, participant safety, adverse event monitoring, confidentiality, and scientific validity. [30–32]

Additional challenges may arise when evaluating multi-herbal formulations, traditional therapies, or integrative treatment approaches. Researchers must ensure compliance with national and international regulatory requirements while maintaining respect for traditional knowledge systems.

Methodological Opportunities

Pragmatic Clinical Trials

Pragmatic clinical trials are designed to evaluate interventions under routine clinical conditions rather than highly controlled research environments. These studies focus on effectiveness rather than efficacy and therefore align closely with real-world Ayurvedic practice. [22–24]

Unlike explanatory RCTs, pragmatic trials permit treatment flexibility and accommodate individualized therapeutic decision-making. This approach enables researchers to preserve core Ayurvedic principles while generating clinically relevant evidence.

For example, women with PCOS could be randomized to receive either standard biomedical care alone or standard care plus individualized Ayurvedic treatment. Outcomes could include menstrual regularity, ovulation rates, metabolic parameters, and quality of life measures.

Whole-Systems Research

Whole-systems research evaluates healthcare systems as integrated therapeutic entities rather than isolated interventions. This methodology recognizes that the effectiveness of Ayurveda often arises from interactions among multiple treatment components. [25–27]

Whole-systems approaches allow investigators to assess comprehensive treatment packages including herbal medicines, dietary modifications, yoga, lifestyle interventions and counselling. Such designs may better reflect clinical reality and improve external validity.

N-of-1 Trials

N-of-1 trials involve repeated crossover comparisons within individual patients and represent one of the most personalized forms of clinical research. [28 29]

Because Ayurveda emphasizes individualized treatment, N-of-1 methodologies may be particularly useful for evaluating patient-specific therapeutic responses. These studies can generate valuable information regarding treatment effectiveness at the individual level and may complement findings from larger clinical trials.

Real-World Evidence

Real-world evidence (RWE) refers to clinical evidence derived from routine healthcare settings rather than controlled experimental environments. Potential data sources include electronic health records, patient registries, hospital databases, insurance claims, and digital health platforms. [33–35]

RWE may be especially valuable in Ayurvedic research because it captures treatment effectiveness under actual practice conditions. Such evidence can provide insights regarding long-term safety, treatment adherence, patient satisfaction, healthcare utilization, and cost-effectiveness.

Increasingly, regulatory authorities recognize the importance of real-world evidence in healthcare decision-making.

Integration of Digital Health and Artificial Intelligence

Rapid advances in digital health technologies offer significant opportunities for improving Ayurvedic clinical research. Mobile applications can facilitate symptom tracking, menstrual monitoring, medication adherence assessment, and collection of patient-reported outcomes. [37]

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms may assist researchers in identifying treatment responders, predicting outcomes, stratifying patients according to constitutional characteristics and analysing complex datasets. [36–40]

Given Ayurveda's emphasis on personalized medicine, AI-based approaches may prove particularly valuable in understanding relationships among Prakriti, clinical presentation, treatment response, and health outcomes.

Outcome Measures and Ethical Considerations

Selection of clinically meaningful outcome measures is essential for evaluating therapeutic effectiveness. Women's health studies should incorporate both objective and subjective endpoints.

Common clinical outcomes include ovulation rate, conception rate, pregnancy rate, menstrual regularity, dysmenorrhea

severity, body mass index, insulin resistance, and hormonal parameters. [4 5]

Patient-reported outcomes are equally important and may include measures of pain, fatigue, sleep quality, emotional wellbeing, stress, anxiety, and health-related quality of life.

Ethical considerations are particularly important in research involving pregnant women, lactating mothers, and reproductive-age populations. Investigators must ensure informed consent, participant safety, continuous monitoring and compliance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines. [30–32]

Future Directions

Future progress in Ayurvedic clinical research will depend on the successful integration of traditional knowledge systems with contemporary scientific methodologies. Priority areas include development of Ayurveda-specific research frameworks, expansion of multicentre collaborations, standardization of herbal medicines, and increased use of pragmatic trial designs.

Integration of Ayurveda with conventional healthcare should also be explored through comparative effectiveness studies and integrative care models. Such research may help determine whether Ayurvedic interventions can improve outcomes, reduce symptom burden, enhance quality of life, and provide cost-effective healthcare solutions.

Furthermore, large-scale registries, longitudinal observational studies, and digital health platforms will play increasingly important roles in evidence generation.

CONCLUSION

Women's health represents a highly promising field for Ayurvedic clinical research. Disorders such as PCOS, infertility, dysmenorrhea, menopausal syndrome, and pregnancy-related complications are often multifactorial and may benefit from holistic therapeutic approaches.

However, generating high-quality evidence remains challenging because conventional research methodologies do not always align with the individualized and multimodal nature of Ayurvedic practice. Challenges related to treatment personalization, intervention standardization, placebo design, and outcome assessment require innovative methodological solutions.

Pragmatic clinical trials, whole-systems research, N-of-1 studies, real-world evidence generation, and digital health technologies offer promising pathways for advancing Ayurvedic research. Through scientifically rigorous and context-sensitive methodologies, Ayurveda can contribute meaningfully to evidence-based and integrative women's healthcare.

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the contributions of researchers and scholars whose work has been referenced in this review.

Source of Funding

No external funding was received for this study.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval

Not applicable. This study is a review of published literature and does not involve human or animal subjects.

Author Contributions

The author solely conceptualised, prepared, and approved the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Women's health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024.
2. United Nations. Gender equality and women's health report. New York: United Nations; 2023.
3. Vogel JP, Bohren MA, et al. Global perspectives on women's health. *Lancet*. 2023;401(10378):890-903.
4. Escobar-Morreale HF. Polycystic ovary syndrome: definition, aetiology, diagnosis and treatment. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2018;14(5):270-284.
5. Teede HJ, Misso ML, Costello MF, et al. Recommendations from the international evidence-based guideline for the assessment and management of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod*. 2018;33(9):1602-1618.
6. Sharma RK, Dash B, translators. *Charaka Samhita of Agnivesh*. Vol I–VI. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Series Office; 2019.
7. Murthy KRS, translator. *Sushruta Samhita*. Vol I–III. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia; 2017.
8. Murthy KRS, translator. *Ashtanga Hridaya of Vagbhata*. Vol I–III. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Krishnadas Academy; 2019.
9. Sharma H, Sharma P, translators. *Kashyapa Samhita (Vrddhi Jivakiya Tantra)*. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Series Office; 2018.
10. Ministry of AYUSH. National Policy on Indian Systems of Medicine and Homoeopathy. New Delhi: Government of India; 2023.
11. Patwardhan B, Vaidya ADB, Chorghade M. Ayurveda and natural products drug discovery. *Curr Sci*. 2004;86(6):789-799.
12. Patwardhan B. Bridging Ayurveda with evidence-based scientific approaches in medicine. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2014; 2014:1-8.
13. Rastogi S. Building evidence for Ayurveda: challenges and opportunities. *J Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2010;1(2):85-87.
14. Rao RV, Singh RH, Prasad LV. Clinical research in Ayurveda: current status and future directions. *J Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2017;8(3):147-151.
15. Sharma H. Scientific research in Ayurveda: opportunities and challenges. *J Res Ayurveda Sci*. 2015;9(2):120-128.
16. Patwardhan K, Gehlot S, Singh G, Rathore HC. Global challenges of graduate level Ayurvedic education and research. *J Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2011;2(2):103-109.
17. Fornebu V, Grimstads S, Walach H, et al. Researching complementary and alternative treatments: the gatekeepers are not at home. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2007; 7:7.
18. Friedman LM, Furberg CD, DeMets DL. *Fundamentals of Clinical Trials*. 5th ed. New York: Springer; 2015.

19. Hulley SB, Cummings SR, Browner WS, Grady D, Newman TB. *Designing Clinical Research*. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013.
20. Piantadosi S. *Clinical Trials: A Methodologic Perspective*. 3rd ed. Hoboken: Wiley; 2017.
21. Pocock SJ. *Clinical Trials: A Practical Approach*. Chichester: Wiley; 2013.
22. Montgomery AA, Peters TJ, Little P. Design, analysis and presentation of pragmatic trials. *BMJ*. 2003; 327:1372-1374.
23. Ford I, Norrie J. Pragmatic clinical trials. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(5):454-463.
24. Zwarenstein M, Treweek S, Gagnier JJ, et al. Improving the reporting of pragmatic trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. *BMJ*. 2008;337: a2390.
25. Verhoef MJ, Ledwith G, Ritenbaugh C, et al. Whole systems research: moving forward. *Forsch Komplementmed*. 2005;12(3):156-163.
26. Ritenbaugh C, Verhoef M, Fleishman S, et al. Whole systems research and complementary medicine. *Altern Ther Health Med*. 2003;9(4):48-57.
27. Bell IR, Koithan M. Models for whole systems complementary and alternative medicine research. *Explore (NY)*. 2006;2(4):307-314.
28. Lillie EO, Patay B, Diamant J, Issell B, Topol EJ, Schork NJ. The N-of-1 clinical trial: the ultimate strategy for individualizing medicine. *Perm J*. 2011;15(2):37-42.
29. Guyatt GH, Keller JL, Jaeschke R, et al. The N-of-1 randomized controlled trial: clinical usefulness. *N Engl J Med*. 1986;314(14):889-892.
30. International Council for Harmonisation. ICH Harmonised Guideline E6(R3): Good Clinical Practice. Geneva: ICH; 2025.
31. World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013;310(20):2191-2194.
32. Indian Council of Medical Research. National ethical guidelines for biomedical and health research involving human participants. New Delhi: ICMR; 2023.
33. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Framework for FDA's Real-World Evidence Program. Silver Spring (MD): FDA; 2018.
34. Sherman RE, Anderson SA, Dal Pan GJ, et al. Real-world evidence: what is it and what can it tell us? *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(23):2293-2297.
35. Corrigan-Curay J, Sacks L, Woodcock J. Real-world evidence and real-world data for evaluating drug safety and effectiveness. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2018;103(6):901-905.
36. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nat Med*. 2019;25(1):44-56.
37. World Health Organization. Global strategy on digital health 2020–2025. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
38. Beam AL, Kohane IS. Big data and machine learning in healthcare. *JAMA*. 2018;319(13):1317-1318.
39. Rajkomar A, Dean J, Kohane I. Machine learning in medicine. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380(14):1347-1358.
40. Mathur S, Sutton J. Personalized medicine and artificial intelligence: implications for future healthcare. *Front Digit Health*. 2023; 5:112233.

About the Author

Dr. Manali Prachit Bhandari is an Ayurvedic physician with a BAMS and MS in Prasuti Tantra & Stree Roga from Maharashtra, India. She specialises in women's health, obstetrics, and gynaecology through Ayurvedic principles. Her academic interests include maternal healthcare, reproductive wellness, preventive medicine, and evidence-based Ayurvedic research and clinical practice.