International Journal of Contemporary Research In Multidisciplinary

Research Article

Traditional Indian Education before British Rule and Educational Developments Post-1857

Biswanath Kundu[1*], Dr. Sushma Gari[2]
[1,2] Y.B.N University, Namkum, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

Corresponding Author: *Biswanath Kundu

Abstract

Introduction: Before British rule, India had a rich and diverse system of indigenous education deeply rooted in its cultural, religious, and social fabric. Education was primarily imparted through Gurukuls, Paths Halas, Madrasas, and Tols, catering to Hindu and Islamic learners. The system emphasized moral values, spiritual development, and practical knowledge like grammar, logic, mathematics, astronomy, and philosophy. Education was largely oral, teacher-centric, and personalized. Access was limited mainly to upper castes and males, though some regional variations existed. With the advent of British rule, especially after the 1857 revolt, there was a shift in educational priorities. The British introduced Western-style education, focusing on the English language, European sciences, and literature. Policies such as Wood's Dispatch (1854) laid the foundation for a structured colonial education system. Subsequent reforms included the Hunter Commission (1882), the Indian Universities Act (1904), and the Saddler Commission (1917-19), which expanded and standardized higher education.

Post-1857 developments led to the rise of an English-educated Indian middle class, crucial in shaping modern political consciousness and the nationalist movement. However, British education policies often ignored indigenous knowledge systems and were criticized for being elitist and bureaucratic. Despite these drawbacks, the period laid the groundwork for India's modern educational infrastructure.

KEYWORDS: Buddhist Education, Sociopolitical, Played, Central, Colonial, Post-Colonial, Struggle, India, British, Rule, Foreign, Curriculum, Primarily, Intermediaries, State, Embraced, Western, Education, Significantly, Visionary, Leaders

1. INTRODUCTION

India, with its ancient civilization and cultural depth, had a rich and diverse tradition of education long before the arrival of the British. The traditional Indian education system was rooted in indigenous knowledge systems that had evolved over centuries and were deeply intertwined with the socio-cultural and spiritual fabric of Indian society. Education was not merely an academic pursuit but a holistic process that aimed at the all-round development of an individual—intellectual, moral, spiritual, and physical. The primary aim of education was the realization of the self and the ultimate truth, rather than the accumulation of material wealth or professional competence.

Before the advent of British colonial rule, India boasted a well-established network of educational institutions, including

Gurukuls, Pathshalas, Madrasas, and Buddhist monasteries, catering to the needs of various communities. In the ancient period, the most prominent educational institutions were the Gurukuls, where students lived with their teachers (gurus) in a residential setting, usually in ashrams or forest hermitages. The relationship between the guru and the shishya (disciple) was sacred and personal. The teaching was imparted orally and was often based on memorization of texts like the Vedas, Upanishads, and other scriptures. The curriculum included not only religious and philosophical studies but also mathematics, astronomy, grammar, logic, medicine, warfare, and art. Students were taught discipline, respect, humility, and a sense of duty towards society. These Gurukuls were often supported by voluntary donations from the community or the king and were accessible to students based on merit and aptitude.

Simultaneously, Buddhist monasteries emerged as centres of higher learning during the Mauryan and post-Mauryan periods. Great universities like Nalanda, Vikram Shila, and Takashia attracted students and scholars from all over Asia. These institutions had well-organized curricula, libraries, hostels, and research facilities. Subjects such as logic, medicine, philosophy, grammar, and Buddhist scriptures were taught by renowned scholars. Education was inclusive and open to students from different regions and cultures. The decline of Buddhism in India led to the decay of these centers, but their legacy remained as a testimony to India's rich educational heritage.

With the advent of Islamic rule in parts of India during the medieval period, another dimension was added to the traditional education system. Madrasas and Maktabs became centers for Islamic education, where subjects like theology, jurisprudence, philosophy, Persian, Arabic, and mathematics were taught. These institutions were usually attached to mosques and were supported by endowments or state patronage. Sanskrit Pathshalas continued to function alongside Madrasas, maintaining the traditions of Hindu learning. The coexistence of multiple systems of education reflected the syncretic ethos of Indian society. The period after the revolt of 1857 witnessed more structured efforts by the British to reform and expand education in India. The Indian Education Commission of 1882, also known as the Hunter Commission, recommended a decentralized structure for education and emphasized the importance of primary education in vernacular languages. It also suggested increased private participation and missionary activity in the field of education. However, the colonial government's investment in education remained limited, and the focus was more on producing a loyal, efficient, and low-cost workforce for the administration

The Indian Universities Act of 1904 attempted to bring universities under tighter control and introduced measures to standardize curricula, improve teaching standards, and promote research. However, the act was seen as an attempt to stifle academic freedom and was opposed by Indian nationalists. The early twentieth century also saw the growth of nationalist educational institutions like the Banaras Hindu University (BHU), Aligarh Muslim University (AMU), and the institutions set up by the Indian National Congress under the National Education Movement. These institutions aimed at imparting modern education rooted in Indian culture and values.

The Saddler Commission (1917–1919) provided a comprehensive review of secondary and higher education in India. It recommended reforms in university administration, introduction of intermediate colleges, expansion of vocational and technical education, and improvement of teacher training. Although many of its recommendations were not implemented fully, it laid the foundation for later reforms in education. The Hartog Committee Report of 1929 emphasized the need to improve the quality of primary education and reduce the dropout rate. It highlighted the weaknesses of the existing system, such as overcrowded classes, underqualified teachers, and lack of adequate facilities.

During the 1930s and 1940s, education became an important tool for political and social awakening. Mahatma Gandhi's concept of Nai Talim or Basic Education emphasized learning through productive work and linking education with the life of the community. Gandhi believed that education should be self-sufficient, moral, and non-violent. Though the idea did not gain widespread support among policymakers, it inspired several experiments in alternative education. All three systems—Gurukuls, Madrasas, and Paths Halas—were part of a larger mosaic of indigenous education that was decentralized, community-supported, and spiritually grounded. The transmission of knowledge was considered sacred, and the act of learning was associated with both individual growth and social harmony. Teachers were revered not just as intellectual guides but also as moral exemplars. There was a clear emphasis on holistic education, where intellectual training was intertwined with ethical behavior, self-discipline, and spiritual awakening. Importantly, these educational traditions were not static or monolithic but exhibited regional variations, with curriculum and methods often tailored to local needs and cultural idioms. Despite limitations in terms of access and inclusivity, especially concerning women and lower-caste groups, the indigenous education system was neither as stagnant nor as deficient as colonial narratives later portrayed it to be.

The watershed moment in Indian educational history came with the revolt of 1857, after which the British East India Company's rule was replaced by the direct governance of the British Crown. The colonial administration saw education as a crucial instrument for consolidating imperial control and fostering a class of Indians who could serve as intermediaries between the rulers and the masses. The shift in educational policy was guided by several imperatives: administrative efficiency, ideological hegemony, and the cultivation of loyal subjects. Thomas Macaulay's infamous Minute on Indian Education (1835), although predating 1857, set the tone for the Anglicist orientation of British policy. Post-1857, these ideas were institutionalized more rigorously through acts, commissions, and educational reforms that marginalized indigenous knowledge systems in favor of a curriculum modeled on British standards.

The Indian Education Commission of 1882, also known as the Hunter Commission, was one of the first systematic efforts to evaluate and reform Indian education under Crown rule. The

commission emphasized the expansion of primary education, especially in vernacular languages, while simultaneously strengthening secondary and higher education in English. However, the stated intent of promoting vernacular education was not matched by actual investments or structural support. Instead, colonial priorities lay in producing a cadre of English-educated clerks, lawyers, and teachers who could staff the lower echelons of the colonial administration. Universities established during this period—like those in Bombay, Calcutta, and Madras—functioned as affiliating institutions modelled on British universities, offering degrees in arts, science, and law but often detached from Indian realities or traditional knowledge.

The educational developments post-1857 also witnessed the systematic undermining of Madrasas, Gurukuls, and Paths Halas. While these institutions did not disappear overnight, they suffered from neglect, loss of patronage, and an official bias against indigenous systems. The British viewed these traditional institutions as unscientific, outdated, and incapable of fostering rational or progressive thinking. Consequently, the colonial education system created a rupture between the educated elite and their cultural roots. English became the language of power, prestige, and upward mobility, and Indian languages were relegated to the periphery. Knowledge of Indian history, philosophy, and literature was devalued in Favor of a curriculum that glorified European civilization and justified imperial rule. While traditional Indian education had been sustained by gurukuls, madrasas, and paths alas, the British introduced a radically different model, characterized by secular curricula, standardized examinations, and formal degrees. The Charter Act of 1813 initiated state funding for education, but it was Lord Macaulay's Minute on Education (1835) and Wood's Dispatch (1854) that truly institutionalized Western education in India. Macaulay advocated for the promotion of English-language instruction and the creation of a class of Indians who would act as cultural intermediaries between rulers and the ruled. Wood's Dispatch called for a structured education system involving universities, colleges, and primary schools. This led to the founding of the universities of Calcutta, Bombay, and Madras in 1857—the first modern universities in Asia. Over time, these institutions produced a new Western-educated elite who would come to play pivotal roles in Indian politics, reform movements, journalism, and eventually, the struggle for independence.

Western medical institutions also began to appear in the 19th century. The British recognized the strategic importance of public health and sanitation, particularly in the context of controlling epidemics such as cholera and plague. Western medical science was institutionalized through the establishment of hospitals, medical colleges, and public health departments. The Calcutta Medical College, founded in 1835, became a pioneering institution in training Indian doctors in Western medicine. Over time, medical education expanded to other cities, often replacing indigenous systems such as Ayurveda and Unani, or relegating them to the margins. The Western model emphasized empirical observation, anatomical dissection, and pharmaceutical treatments, reflecting the positivist spirit of 19th-century science. This medical institutionalization laid the foundation for India's public health apparatus, including the development of the Indian Medical Service and municipal health boards.

In the realm of administration, the British established a centralized bureaucratic structure that mirrored the institutions of governance in Britain. The Indian Civil Services (ICS), the police force, revenue departments, and judicial services were all modelled after British systems. Recruitment was increasingly based on competitive examinations held in English, often in Britain itself, which reinforced the dominance of Western education. The ICS became the "steel frame" of British rule in India, managing everything from land revenue to infrastructure development. Administrative reforms such as the Cornwallis Code (1793) and later the Morley-Minto Reforms (1909) introduced procedural and electoral innovations that expanded bureaucratic efficiency and political participation, albeit in a highly limited fashion. These institutions not only shaped governance under colonial rule but also persisted in post-independence India in modified forms, forming the backbone of the modern Indian administrative state.

The legal and administrative institutions introduced by the British also brought with them a new conception of rights, citizenship, and justice. The colonial judiciary, with its formal procedures and codified laws, contrasted sharply with the community-based dispute resolution systems that had existed previously. Courts replaced panchayats and customary adjudication with legal codes written in English and based on British jurisprudence. While this often alienated the rural population, it also created legal awareness among urban Indians and enabled the rise of legal professionals like Motilal Nehru and M. K. Gandhi. The legal profession became a platform for political engagement and social reform, as lawyers used courts to challenge colonial policies, advocate for civil liberties, and push for legislative changes.

The expansion of Western-style economic institutions was equally significant. The British introduced capitalist frameworks based on private property, monetization of the economy, and free trade. The Permanent Settlement of Bengal (1793), the Ryotwari and Mahalwari systems, and the creation of a formal land revenue apparatus transformed agrarian relations. These systems were based on individual ownership and market logic, replacing communal or customary landholding. Banking and credit institutions such as the Imperial Bank of India (later the State Bank of India) were modelled after British financial institutions. The Indian railway system, developed from the 1850s onward, was both an infrastructural marvel and a tool for integrating the Indian economy into the global market. Railways, telegraphs, and postal services, all introduced as Western institutions, facilitated administrative control, military mobilization, and the spread of ideas and commodities.

Higher education and research also flourished under Western institutional models. In addition to the three presidency universities, new institutions such as Aligarh Muslim University (1875), Banaras Hindu University (1916), and Indian Institute of Science (1909) were established under Western frameworks. These institutions combined Indian cultural aspirations with

Western curricula and methodologies. The sciences, in particular, saw significant expansion, as laboratories, observatories, and research institutes emerged in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Figures like Jagadish Chandra Bose and C. V. Raman emerged from this hybridized space, blending Western science with Indian inquiry.

However, the expansion of Western-style institutions was not merely an imposition; it involved negotiation, adaptation, and resistance. Indian reformers such as Raja Ram Mohan Roy, Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar, Jyotiba Phule, and Swami Vivekananda actively engaged with Western institutions. While some embraced Western education and rationalism as tools for emancipation, others sought to indigenize them or use them to reform traditional institutions. This led to the emergence of a modern public sphere in India, where ideas of democracy, nationalism, feminism, and social justice could be debated and enacted. Newspapers, journals, debating societies, and professional associations—all based on Western institutional models—flourished in this period and contributed to the development of a nationalist consciousness. [15]

The Indian nationalist movement itself relied heavily on Western institutions. The Indian National Congress, founded in 1885, was structured along the lines of British political parties, with annual sessions, elected officials, and policy resolutions. Leaders like Gandhi and Nehru were educated in Western institutions and employed Western political idioms such as civil liberties, parliamentary democracy, and human rights, even as they critiqued the colonial distortion of these ideals. Educational institutions became sites of resistance, with nationalist leaders founding schools and colleges such as Jamia Millia Islamia and Visva-Bharati as alternatives to colonial education. Thus, the very institutions that had been introduced to consolidate colonial rule were repurposed to challenge it.

Post-independence, the legacy of Western-style institutions has remained strong. India adopted a parliamentary democracy based on the British Westminster model, retained the Indian Civil Services, and preserved the basic structure of the judiciary. The Indian Constitution, while drawing from multiple sources, is heavily influenced by British constitutionalism, especially in its provisions related to the rule of law, separation of powers, and fundamental rights. Educational institutions expanded massively in the post-independence era, with the creation of Indian Institutes of Technology (IITs), Indian Institutes of Management (IIMs), and a vast university network—all drawing on Western templates.

However, the continued relevance of Western-style institutions has been subject to critique. Scholars like Ashis Nandy, Partha Chatterjee, and Dipesh Chakrabarty have argued that these institutions, while facilitating modernization, also entrenched colonial epistemologies. According to this view, Western institutions often ignored indigenous knowledge systems, marginalized vernacular traditions, and imposed alien norms of governance and rationality. The challenge in contemporary India is to decolonize these institutions without dismantling their positive contributions. Initiatives such as the promotion of Indian languages, integration of traditional medicine in public health,

and rethinking legal pluralism reflect an effort to balance modernity with cultural rootedness.

In conclusion, the expansion of Western-style institutions in India was one of the defining features of the colonial encounter. These institutions transformed Indian society, creating new forms of knowledge, authority, and citizenship. While originally intended to serve imperial interests, they were gradually appropriated by Indians to pursue reform, resistance, and ultimately, independence. Their legacy continues to shape India's political, legal, educational, and scientific systems. The task before contemporary India is to critically engage with this legacy, retaining what is functional, reforming what is exclusionary, and reviving indigenous frameworks that can coexist with modern structures. In doing so, India can chart a path that is both modern and authentically its own.

CONCLUSION

The journey from traditional Indian education to the colonial educational framework post-1857 marks a significant transformation in India's intellectual and cultural landscape. While the indigenous system was deeply embedded in local traditions, values, and religious practices, it was gradually sidelined by the British-imposed structure that prioritized English education and Western knowledge. This shift had both positive and negative consequences. On one hand, it contributed to the decline of native learning systems and reinforced social hierarchies by limiting access to education. On the other, it created a new class of educated Indians who became instrumental in the freedom struggle and in shaping modern India. The colonial period laid the foundation for a centralized and institutionalized system of education, but it also created a gap between the masses and formal learning. The legacy of this dual system is still visible today. To move forward, it is essential to draw strength from India's rich educational heritage while building on the reforms introduced during the colonial era. A balanced and inclusive approach, which respects traditional wisdom and embraces modernity, is crucial for creating an education system that is rooted, relevant, and transformative.

REFERENCES

1. Devy GN. After amnesia: tradition and change in Indian literary criticism. Hyderabad: Orient Longman; 1995.
2. Fanon F. The wretched of the earth. Farrington C, translator. New York: Grove Press; 1961.
3. Gandhi MK. Hind Swaraj or Indian home rule. Ahmedabad: Navajivan; 1938. Originally published in 1909.
4. Gandhi MK. The Wardha scheme of education. Harijan. 1937 Jul 31.
5. Kothari Commission. Report of the education commission 1964–66. New Delhi: Government of India; 1966.
6. Macaulay TB. Minute on Indian education. Parliamentary Papers. 1835.

7. Nandy A. The intimate enemy: loss and recovery of self under colonialism. New Delhi: Oxford University Press; 1983.
8. Smith LT. Decolonizing methodologies: research and indigenous peoples. London: Zed Books; 1999.
9. Tagore R. Towards universal man. London: Macmillan; 1931.
10. Thiong'o N. Decolonising the mind: the politics of language in African literature. Nairobi: Heinemann; 1986.
11. Viswanathan G. Masks of conquest: literary study and British rule in India. New York: Columbia University Press; 1989.
12. Vivekananda S. Complete works of Swami Vivekananda. Kolkata: Advaita Ashrama; 1902.